# **Auckland Regional Household Labour Force Survey: Quarterly Overview – March 2020**

**Ross Wilson** 









# Auckland Regional Household Labour Force Survey: Quarterly Overview – March 2020

Ross Wilson

May 2020

Research and Evaluation Unit (RIMU)

Auckland Council

#### This report

This report is prepared by the Research and Evaluation unit (RIMU) of Auckland Council. It provides an overview of aspects of labour force participation in Auckland, as indicated by results of the quarterly Household Labour Force Survey (HLFS) (administered by Statistics New Zealand). Particular focus is provided on trends across age and ethnic groups in the region, and the NEET rate.

**Seasonality:** Data in this report is not 'seasonally adjusted'. In order to ensure information is seasonally aligned, rolling annual averages are used throughout this report for reporting across time.

**Definitions:** A list of definitions is included at the back of this report.

Revisions: The survey and most back-data was re-based in September and December 2019 and March 2020 to match revised regional population estimates. Also, the survey and all back-data since 2009 was revised in June 2016 when 'searching online ads only' was redefined from 'official unemployed' to 'looking at job ads only' (ie not actively seeking employment, therefore Not In Labour Force). This overview uses the revised data.

#### The Household Labour Force Survey (HLFS)

The HLFS is a national sample survey undertaken by Statistics New Zealand.

It is primarily designed for national estimates. The national sample contains about 16,000 private households and about 30,000 individuals each quarter. Households are sampled on a statistically representative basis from rural and urban areas throughout New Zealand, and information is obtained for each member of the household aged 15 or older. Each quarter, one-eighth of the households in the sample are rotated out and replaced by a new set of households.

**Sampling errors**: As the HLFS is a sample survey, all statistics are subject to survey sampling error. Statistics presented here at the sub-sample (e.g. local board) and sub-variable (e.g. NEET) levels are also subject to additional sampling errors and should be treated as indicative only.

Survey questionnaire changes: In June 2016, the HLFS questionnaire was changed to identify more self-employed people (who would previously have been Not In Labour Force and/or NEET), and to include members of the armed forces living in private dwellings (previously not part of 'Working Age Population'). Consequently, employment and unemployment rates and other affected data from June 2016 onwards are not fully compatible with earlier periods.

The information provided in this report supplements other freely available HLFS information and reporting:

National and some regional data released on Statistics NZ website: www.stats.govt.nz

**Technical notes** on the HLFS on Statistics NZ website:

http://www.stats.govt.nz/survey-participants/a-z-of-our-surveys/household-labour-force-survey.aspx and for explanation of June 2016 questionnaire changes:

http://www.stats.govt.nz/browse\_for\_stats/income-and-

work/employment\_and\_unemployment/LabourMarketStatistics\_HOTPJun16qtr-incl-HLFS/Commentary.aspx

Further labour market analysis is available from MBIE:

http://www.dol.govt.nz/publications/lmr/labour-maket-analysis.asp

Click here to read the latest Auckland Economic Quarterly - overview of key economic trends in Auckland.

**Additional Auckland HLFS data** is available on request from Auckland Council's Research and Evaluation Unit . RIMU

Contact: Ross Wilson – Analyst Ross. Wilson@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz 2021 706 822

**Disclaimer -** The information in this document is given in good faith and has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and accurate at the date of preparation, but its accuracy, correctness and completeness cannot be guaranteed.

## **Table of contents**

1. Overview and highlights	5
2. Labour force status and unemployment rate by age	7
3. Labour force status and unemployment rate by gender	8
4. Labour force status and unemployment rate by ethnic group	9
5. Employment by occupation	10
6. Employment by industry	11
7. Youth not in employment, education or training (NEET)	12
Appendix 1: Definitions used in the HLFS	13

#### 1. Overview and highlights

Note: The March 2020 quarter included just over a week of Covid-19 lockdown level 3 and 4 (starting March 23), so the latest HLFS data mostly reflect pre-lockdown conditions.

For the guarter ended March 2020:

- There was a slight increase in labour force participation rate (to 71.0%), but population and employment were unchanged so unemployment rose.
- The overall unemployment rate was 4.8%, significantly higher<sup>1</sup> than the previous quarter and the highest quarterly rate since 2017.
- The NEET (not in employment, education or training) rate for youth aged 15-24 was 16.3%: above the preceding quarter (10.9%), and above a year earlier (13.9%).

#### Over the year ended March 2020:

- The unemployment rate overall averaged 4.3% in the year ended March 2020, similar to 4.2% in the year ended March 2019 and among the lowest annual rates since 2008
- The unemployment rate among those aged 15 to 19 averaged 16.8%, slightly lower than 20.0% a year prior (and still well below the peak of 30.9% in 2011).
- The unemployment rate for females of all ages averaged 4.7%, similar to 4.3% a year prior, while the unemployment rate for males averaged 3.9%, similar to 4.0% a year prior. The gap between males and females (0.8%) has narrowed compared to its peak in the year ended June 2015 (2.5%).
- Unemployment rates among Māori (8.40%) and Pacific people (8.0%) were both similar to a year prior (8.3% and 8.9% respectively), and no longer trending down like they had been from 2013 to 2017; they remained higher than European (3.0%) and Asian (4.4%) ethnic groups.
- The labour force participation rate overall averaged 70.7%, similar to 70.9% a year prior, which was the highest-equal ever (since at least 1986).
- The labour force participation rate for females averaged 66.2%, one of the highest since at least 1986 and part of the uptrend since 2003 (57.2 %).
- The labour force participation rate for people aged 20-24 averaged 78.0%, the highest since 1999 and continuing the uptrend since 2013 (67.2%), but still lower than the previous peak of 83.0% in June 1998.

Table 1: Labour force status, comparison to preceding guarter and year

	Quarter end Dec 2019 (snapshot)	Quarter end Mar 2020 (snapshot)	Year end Mar 2019 (annual average)	Year end Mar 2020 (annual average)
Number employed	887.2	888.8	876.6	884.9
Number unemployed	38.0	44.9	38.0	39.9
Number not in the labour force	385.6	381.9	374.3	383.6
Number in the working age population	1310.8	1315.7	1284.3	1308.5
Labour force participation rate (%)	70.6	71.0	70.9	70.7
Unemployment rate (%)	4.1	4.8	4.2	4.3

Note: Numbers are '000s of people.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Definition of similar or different is relative to survey sampling error margins produced by Statistics NZ; for further details see 'Significant difference' in Appendix 1.

Table 2: Quick overview of unemployment rates among age, gender and ethnic groups (%) (annual averages)

	Year end Mar 2019	Year end Mar 2020
Total	4.2	4.3
15-19 years	20.0	16.8
20-24 years	8.3	9.3
25-39 years	3.2	3.5
40-54 years	2.7	2.8
55 years +	2.1	2.3
Female	4.3	4.7
Male	4.0	3.9
European	3.1	3.0
Māori	8.3	8.4
Pacific	8.9	8.0
Asian	3.9	4.4

Table 3: Quick overview of labour force participation rates among age, gender and ethnic groups (%) (annual averages)

	Year end Mar 2019	Year end Mar 2020
Total	70.9	70.7
15-19 years	39.7	40.4
20-24 years	75.5	78.0
25-39 years	86.5	85.9
40-54 years	87.1	87.0
55 years +	50.3	50.2
Female	65.9	66.2
Male	76.3	75.5
European	73.0	72.3
Māori	67.7	67.3
Pacific	63.0	62.8
Asian	71.5	72.1

Note: During lockdown there was a substantial reduction in survey response rates, and this may be biased towards particular sectors of the population (and therefore bias the results), but for March 2020 quarter the effect was brief and therefore insignificant.

Note: The September 2019 Household Labour Force Survey (HLFS) was the first to be published since Statistics NZ revised their 2013-2019 regional population estimates in October 2019; as a consequence, most HLFS back-data for 2013-2019 has been revised (in most cases the impact was minor). The December 2019 and March 2020 HLFS (including most backdata) incorporated further revisions of 2013-2019 and 2018-2019 population estimates.

### 2. Labour force status and unemployment rate by age

For the year ended March 2020, labour force status for the various age groups was as shown in Table 4. Unemployment rates since 1996 were as shown in Figure 1.

Table 4: Labour force status by age group, year ended March 2020 (annual averages)


	Total	15 to 19 years	20 to 24 years	25 to 39 years	40 to 54 years	55 years and over
Number employed	884.9	39.1	88.4	306.3	277.8	199.6
Number unemployed	39.9	7.9	9.1	11.1	8.1	4.8
Number not in the labour force	383.6	69.5	27.6	52.0	42.8	203.1
Number in the working age popn	1308.5	116.6	125.0	369.3	328.8	407.5
Labour force participation rate (%)	70.7	40.4	78.0	85.9	87.0	50.2
Unemployment rate (%)	4.3	16.8	9.3	3.5	2.8	2.3

**Note:** Numbers are '000s; sums may differ from totals due to population revisions: totals are based on latest rerevised population estimates, age group numbers are based on unrevised population estimates.

The annual average unemployment rates for the year ended March 2020 for every age group were similar to the last year or so, and the post-2010 downward (improving) trend appears to have flattened in the last year or so – apart from 15-19 year olds, who continue to improve. The unemployment rate continued to vary substantially by age: lowest for ages 55 years and over (2.3%), and highest for 15- to 19-year olds (16.8%).

Labour force participation rates vary from ages 15-19 (40.4%), to ages 40-54 (87.0%); however, for ages 55+ (40.4%), they have near-doubled since the year ended September 1995 (26.8%), whereas ages 15-19 are a third lower than their March 1998 peak (57.4%).

Figure 1: Unemployment rate by age group (rolling annual average), 1996-2020



### 3. Labour force status and unemployment rate by gender

For the year ended March 2020, labour force status for males and females was as shown in Table 5. Unemployment rates since 1996 were as shown in Figure 2.

Table 5: Labour force status by gender, year ended March 2020 (annual averages)


	Total	Male	Female
Number employed	884.9	460.1	424.8
Number unemployed	39.9	18.8	21.1
Number not in the labour force	383.6	155.7	227.9
Number in the working age popn	1308.5	634.7	673.9
Labour force participation rate (%)	70.7	75.5	66.2
Unemployment rate (%)	4.3	3.9	4.7

Note: Numbers are '000s; numbers and rates are based on latest re-revised population estimates.

The annual average unemployment rate for the year ended March 2020 remained slightly higher for females (4.7%) than males (3.9%); the gap (0.8%) is currently significant, but often causes less impact than variations over time of the respective unemployment rates. Unemployment rates were largely flat since December 2017 for males and June 2018 for females, and for both were still much lower than the 2010 peaks (7.9% and 7.0%) and 1998 (7.6% and 7.3%), but slightly above 2004-2008.

In addition, the labour force participation rate (LFPR) for females (66.2%) was among the highest on record (since at least 1995), but remained significantly lower than for males (75.5%); however, the LFPR gender gap (9.3%) has fallen by half since 1995 (19.0%), due to the male LFPR remaining largely unchanged over that period.

Figure 2 Unemployment rate by gender (rolling annual average), 1996-2020



# 4. Labour force status and unemployment rate by ethnic group

For the year ended March 2020, labour force status for the four main ethnic groups was as shown in Table 6. Unemployment rates since 1996 were as shown in Figure 3.

Table 6: Labour force status by ethnic group, year ended March 2020 (annual average)

avorago,					
	Total	European	Māori	Pacific	Asian
Number employed	884.9	503.5	71.7	104.8	245.4
Number unemployed	39.9	15.5	6.6	9.1	11.4
Number not in the labour force	383.6	197.6	38.1	67.5	99.2
Number in the working age popn	1308.5	717.3	116.3	181.4	356.0
Labour force participation rate (%)	70.7	72.3	67.3	62.8	72.1
Unemployment rate (%)	4.3	3.0	8.4	8.0	4.4

Notes: Numbers are '000s; sums may exceed totals due to respondents selecting multiple ethnic groups. "Other" not shown. These numbers are indicative only as sub-sample sizes are small. Data is based on latest re-revised population estimates.

The annual average unemployment rates for the year ended March 2020 were similar to a year or two earlier for every ethnic group - but all groups were significantly lower (better) than the peak in 2010. The unemployment rate continued to vary substantially between ethnic groups: lowest for Europeans (3.0%), average for Asians (4.4%), and highest for Pacific peoples (8.4%) and Māori (8.0%).

Labour force participation rates (70.7%, flat since 2017) varied less, from Pacific (62.8%) and Māori (67.3%) to Asian (72.1% - up from 65.1% in 2013) and European (72.3%).

16 **%** 14 Annual Average Unemployment Rate Mar 05 Mar 06 Mar 07 Mar 02 Mar 03 Mar 04 Mar 08 Mar-09 **Mar-12** Mar-99 Mar 01 Mar-18 Mar-00

Figure 3 Unemployment rate by ethnic group (rolling annual average), 1996-2020

Note: Asian Ethnic Group was included in Other (not shown) until December Quarter 2007

Pacific

European

#### 5. Employment by occupation

Note: Occupation numbers for December 2019 are based on partially revised regional population estimates, while data for March 2020 incorporates further downward revisions, so changes in absolute numbers between revisions are indicative only.

In the March 2020 quarter, Auckland's largest occupational categories were Professionals (26.2% of Auckland's total employment), and Managers (21.4%), followed by Clerical and Administrative Workers (12.1%) and Technicians and Trades Workers (10.0%).

Between the December 2019 and March 2020 quarters, there were no significant changes in the employment shares of any occupations.

Similarly, there was minimal change in the estimated number of people employed overall, and therefore no significant changes in the number of people employed in any occupation.

Table 7: Employment by occupation – comparison to preceding quarter (quarterly data)

Occupation (ANZSCO 1-digit)	December 2019 quarter	March 2020 quarter	December 2019 quarter	March 2020 quarter
	Nun	nber	Shai	re (%)
Managers	191.6	189.8	21.5	21.4
Professionals	229.4	232.8	25.8	26.2
Technicians and Trades Workers	89.2	89.2	10.0	10.0
Community and Personal Service Workers	69.6	68.1	7.8	7.7
Clerical and Administrative Workers	108.7	107.2	12.2	12.1
Sales Workers	79.9	80.5	9.0	9.1
Machinery Operators and Drivers	49.4	47.9	5.6	5.4
Labourers	60.1	62	6.8	7.0
Total	889.4	888.8	100.0	100.0

Notes: Numbers are '000s. Total includes not elsewhere included.

Note: December 2019 numbers (including total) based on partially revised population estimates, so not totally consistent with subsequent periods (March 2020 onwards) that incorporate re-revisions.

### 6. Employment by industry

Note: In March 2020, Statistics NZ revised HLFS industry data and backdata to match population revisions; also, from December 2019 onwards some industry sector bundlings were re-aligned (including backdata) eg Utilities, Retail, Business Services (as per Table 8).

In the March 2020 quarter, the largest sectors in terms of employment were Retail and Accommodation and Food Services (136,100 people; 15.3% of total employment) and Professional, Scientific, Technical, Administrative and Support Services (138,000 people; 15.5% of total employment).

Between the December 2019 and March 2020 quarters, there were significant increases in the number of people employed in:

• Construction (+4500 – taking it to its highest level ever (87,800), and its highest share (9.9%) since 2017)

Between the December 2019 and March 2020 quarters, there were significant decreases in the number of people employed in:

• Wholesale Trade (-4000, taking it to its lowest share ever (4.4%))

Table 8: Employment by industry – comparison to preceding quarter (quarterly data)

Industry (ANZSIC06)	December 2019 quarter	March 2020 quarter	December 2019 quarter	March 2020 quarter
	Nun	nber	Shar	e (%)
Agriculture and Mining	8.6	7.4	1.0	0.8
Manufacturing and Utilities	88.9	88.0	10.0	9.9
Construction	83.3	87.8	9.4	9.9
Wholesale Trade	43.1	39.1	4.9	4.4
Retail and Accommodation and Food Services	133.7	136.1	15.1	15.3
Transport, Postal and Warehousing	50.8	49.4	5.7	5.6
Information Media and Telecommunications	18.7	19.7	2.1	2.2
Financial and Insurance Services	43.1	40.9	4.9	4.6
Rental, Hiring and Real Estate Services	20.1	21.3	2.3	2.4
Professional, Scientific, Technical, Administrative and Support Services	133.0	138.0	15.0	15.5
Public Administration and Safety	36.2	35.7	4.1	4.0
Education and Training	70.6	67.2	8.0	7.6
Health Care and Social Assistance	89.5	90.9	10.1	10.2
Arts, Recreation and Other Services	53.2	53.9	6.0	6.1
Total (including not specified)	887.2	888.8	100.0	100.0

Notes: Numbers are '000s, rounded to nearest hundred. Both quarters based on latest re-revised population estimates.

### 7. Youth not in employment, education or training (NEET)

For the quarter ended March 2020, the overall percentage of young people (aged 15-24) who were not in employment, education or training (NEET) in Auckland (16.3%) was greater than the New Zealand average (15.1%) over the same period. Note that quarterly NEET rates are typically subject to substantial seasonal effects. For the year ended March 2020, the annual average NEET rate for Auckland (11.2%) was similar to the New Zealand average (11.7%) over the same period. (But see Notes below Table 9.)

Indicative NEET rates for the various local board areas for the latest quarter and year are shown in Table 9. Due to small sub-sample sizes, this information is not always available for some local board areas ('..S'), and is never available for Great Barrier (not listed). The other local board NEET levels and rates have varying error margins (18% to 56%; e.g. at 56% error margin, '10% NEET rate' could actually be anywhere between 4.4% and 15.6%).

Table 9: NEET rates by local board area – quarter ended March 2020 (quarterly data, plus annual average rates)

Local board area	Population Age 15-24(000)	Quarterly NEET (000)	Quarterly NEET rate (%)	Annual average NEET Rate (%)
Rodney	6.7	1.1	17.0	18.3
Hibiscus and Bays	16.7	S	S	S
Upper Harbour	9.2	1.8	19.5	19.1
Kaipatiki	9.0	1.6	17.8	11.8
Devonport-Takapuna	12.2	2.4	19.7	12.6
Henderson-Massey[W]	15.3	2.7	17.8	13.8
Waitākere Ranges [W]	6.2	1.4	21.9	18.2
Waiheke	S	S	S	S
Waitematā	8.6	S	S	S
Whau [W]	9.8	2.3	23.6	14.1
Albert-Eden	17.9	1.2	6.5	6.9
Puketāpapa	11.0	1.3	11.9	12.6
Ōrākei	13.5	S	S	S
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki	8.3	2.0	23.8	14.5
Howick	20.3	3.2	16.0	9.9
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu [*]	17.4	2.5	14.3	17.0
Ōtara-Papatoetoe [*]	12.6	3.1	24.8	16.4
Manurewa [*]	19.6	5.2	26.7	20.4
Papakura [*]	6.1	1.6	26.3	21.8
Franklin	10.4	1.5	14.5	14.0
Southern Initiative [*]	55.6	12.4	22.4	18.5
Rest of Auckland [*]	175.7	25.2	14.3	8.9
Western Initiative [W]	31.3	6.4	20.5	14.3
Auckland total	231.3	37.6	16.3	11.2
Rest of New Zealand	415.5	59.8	14.4	12.0
Total New Zealand	646.9	97.4	15.1	11.7

Notes: Auckland and New Zealand totals based on latest re-revised population estimates; local board areas not yet revised. Any finer geography than Auckland total is below the design level of the survey; local board area estimates should be treated as indicative only and used with caution...S = data suppressed due to base numbers being too small (eg NEET below 1000 for the quarter (quarterly) or for all of the four quarters (annual average)). [\*] The Southern Initiative is made up of the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu, Ōtara-Papatoetoe, Manurewa and Papakura Local Boards. [W] = Western Initiative area.

### **Appendix 1: Definitions used in the HLFS**

**Employed**: Those HLFS respondents who during their survey reference week had either:

- worked for one hour or more for pay or profit, as employee/employer/self employed
- worked without pay for one hour or more for a relative's farm or business
- had a job but were temporarily not at work.

**Labour force:** Members of the working-age population who during their survey reference week were classified as 'employed' or 'unemployed'.

**Labour force participation rate:** The total labour force expressed as a percentage of the working-age population.

**NEET rate:** Calculated as the total number of youth (aged 15–24 years) who are not in employment, education or training (NEET), as a proportion of the total youth working-age population (aged 15-24 years).

**Not in the labour force:** Any person who is neither employed nor unemployed. This category includes, for example:

- retired persons
- persons with personal or family responsibilities such as child care, unpaid house work
- persons attending educational institutions
- persons permanently unable to work due to physical or mental handicaps
- persons who were not actively seeking work (see note below regarding unemployed).

**Significant difference:** The HLFS is a sample survey, so all statistics are subject to survey sampling error – the random variation between the sample and the total population. For a difference to be statistically significant, it must exceed this margin of error (see also note on sampling errors).

**Unemployed**: Unemployed persons are those in the working-age population who were without a paid job, available for work and had either actively sought work in the past four weeks ending with the reference week, or had a new job to start within the next four weeks. (Note: 'Actively sought work' does not include people whose only job search method was to look at job advertisements in newspapers or online.)

**Unemployment rate (UER)**: The number of unemployed expressed as a percentage of the labour force.

**Working age population (WAP):** total population (ie of all labour force statuses) aged 15 or over (including over 65); sometimes restricted to a particular specified sub-group such as by gender or ethnicity – and sometimes also for a sub-group defined by age e.g. youth (aged 15-24).