The Relationship between Pedestrian Connectivity and Economic Productivity in Auckland’s City Centre

March 2017  Technical Report 2017/007
The relationship between pedestrian connectivity and economic productivity in Auckland’s city centre

March 2017      Technical Report 2017/007
The relationship between pedestrian connectivity and economic productivity in Auckland’s city centre

Mehrnaz Rohani
Grant Lawrence

Auckland Council
Research and Evaluation Unit, RIMU

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ting Huang (RIMU) for her significant contribution to the census of businesses. The report has also benefitted from reviewers’ comments from David Norman, Chief Economist – Auckland Council and Peter Nunns, Principal Economist – MRCagney.
Executive summary

City centres are important to the economic prosperity of cities and nations. The large number of people located in close proximity to each other in city centres allows ideas to be quickly generated. Much of this transferring of ideas and knowledge takes place face-to-face. There is a strong relationship between connectivity\(^1\) and productivity. High concentrations of people, firms, and activities enable ideas and knowledge to be generated and easily shared. This relationship is referred to as agglomeration economies.

It is well established that transport infrastructure such as roads, railways, cycleways and walkways can support the dynamics of urban agglomeration by enabling better matching, learning, and sharing between economic actors (Duranton and Puga, 2004; Venables, 2007). There are established procedures for estimating the impact of new roads and railways on economic productivity at the regional or inter-regional level. However, the role of walking (or pedestrian connectivity) in supporting agglomeration economies is not as widely understood. Walking facilitates personal and business networking within business centres. Attractive public spaces and walkable streets create a platform for business and social exchange and support the spread of knowledge.

This project seeks to understand the value of walking (pedestrian connectivity) to the Auckland city centre’s economy. This project on quantifying the economic benefits of walking to Auckland’s city centre is one of several work streams to inform the Auckland Design Office, Auckland Planning Office, Auckland Transport, Panuku and Auckland Tourism, Events and Economic Development’s work. In particular, walkability is the central factor in six of the eight transformational shifts in the Auckland City Centre Masterplan (ACCM). Understanding the connectivity of firms through pedestrian networks and the value to the Auckland city centre’s economy will help decision-makers to understand both the costs of disruptions to walkability and the benefits of improved walking conditions.

This research is the first study in New Zealand that examines the impact of walking connectivity on agglomeration economics. It is also the first study on agglomeration effects using fine geographic units.

Methodology

The methodology used in this study is conceptually consistent with established practices for estimating the impact of transport and land use projects on economic outputs both in international and New Zealand literature (e.g. New Zealand Transport Agency, 2016; SGS, 2012; Maré and Graham, 2009; Graham et al. 2009 and Maré, 2008).

\(^1\) This can be both physical and technological. The focus of this study is on physical connectivity.
It builds on a 2014 study on the relationship between walkability and economic productivity in the Melbourne city centre (SGS, 2014). The 2014 study measures the density and connectivity of jobs in the Melbourne city centre and examines the relationship to firms’ productivity.

The density and connectivity of jobs (effective job density, or EJD) in the Auckland city centre is measured and compared with labour productivity here. Connectivity is measured by the walking distance and travel time between jobs incorporating traffic signal delays, pedestrian path types and deviations from a direct path.

The components of the analysis are summarised as follows:

- The study area is the core business area within the city centre, which includes all commercial buildings in 33 census meshblocks (MB) between Quay Street and Wellesley Street, plus additional travel zones within 30 minutes walking distance from this area.
- A census of more than 3220 businesses in the study area was carried out to estimate employment by industry at a fine geographic scale.²
- A pedestrian network was developed based on the existing road network in the study area.
- ‘Network analyst software’ (an extension of ArcGIS 10.2.1) was run to estimate the travel time between each origin and destination point.
- Pedestrian travel time matrices between buildings or model zones were created.
- Pedestrian travel time matrices were combined with detailed estimates of employment to create a measure of the Effective Job Density (EJD) by walking in all buildings within the study area.
- A proxy measure for labour productivity was estimated based on detailed data on average annual wages from Statistics NZ’s Linked Employee Employment Data (2015).

Results

The research suggests that walkability within the Auckland city centre is likely to make a positive contribution to economic productivity. The results are consistent with the hypothesis that better pedestrian connections can increase the potential for agglomeration economies.

² Building footprints or building outlines define the extent of permanent building or structures, captured from high resolution aerial photography. The analysis is done at building footprint level instead of address or parcel levels as it is the most suitable scale to aggregate economic, demographic and geospatial data.
The Auckland city centre plays a large role in both the regional and national economy. In 2015, the city centre contributed an estimated $16 billion to GDP (in constant 2010 dollars), accounting for 20 per cent of Auckland’s GDP and seven per cent of New Zealand’s GDP. The Auckland city centre contributes more to the national GDP than all but three other New Zealand regions: Canterbury (14% of national GDP in 2015), Wellington (14%), and Waikato (8%).

Within the city centre, there were significant variations in walkability, employment density, and industry composition. Employment data and walkability modelling shows that:

- The effective density of jobs by walking varies significantly within the study area. It is highest along Queen St and Shortland Street, and generally drops off with increasing distance from these locations (see Figure A).

- Retail is concentrated in the centre of the study area along Queen Street with high walking connectivity. This is likely to reflect the importance of accessibility and visibility to customers for retail businesses.

- The high productivity service industries, including finance and insurance services, administrative and support services and professional and scientific services are more dispersed throughout the area. Large finance companies are located in the northern half of the study area near Britomart, while administrative and support service firms are largely located in the south-eastern quarter of the area.

- Some of the meshblocks outside the study area have relatively high EJD while they are not in the medium to high range of walking connectivity. For example, the meshblock located in the south of Victoria Park (including Victoria Park Market and Sale Street) has relatively higher EJD because of its higher employment density compared to other meshblocks with the same connectivity. On the contrary, some of the meshblocks with high employment density have very low walking connectivity to the jobs in the city centre resulting in a low EJD (e.g. Auckland Hospital, see Figure B).

Consistent with other studies (e.g. 2014 Melbourne city centre), this study concludes that there is a positive and statistically significant association between walking EJD and estimated labour productivity within the Auckland city centre. Locations that are more walkable tend to have higher productivity. This relationship is robust with the inclusion of controls for (estimated) industry composition at a building level, suggesting that it does not simply reflect the fact that higher-productivity industries choose to locate in more walkable places.

With the walking network established, changes in effective job density by changing walking accessibility could in principle be quantified. Modelled pedestrian improvements may

---

include additional through-block links, more pedestrians crossing points, reductions in traffic signal delays for pedestrians, and new pedestrian bridges and urban design interventions that connect isolated business districts. These interventions reduce the amount of delay that pedestrians must incur when walking between city centre destinations. The impact of interventions will be examined in the second phase of this study.

Figure A: Walking effective job density for buildings inside the study area

Source: Authors’ estimates
Figure B: Walking effective job density for all three zones

Source: Authors’ estimates
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The relationship between pedestrian connectivity and economic productivity in Auckland’s city centre
1.0 Introduction

This report investigates the relationship between pedestrian connectivity and economic productivity in Auckland’s city centre. This research is the first study in New Zealand that examines the impact of walking connectivity on agglomeration economics. It is also the first study on agglomeration effects using fine geographic units.

There is a positive relationship between connectivity and economic productivity. This relationship is referred to as agglomeration economies. Agglomeration economies arise when firms are more efficient by serving a larger number of users, or there is a positive spillover between firms, workers, or residents located close to each other. In other words, firms located in dense business districts where ideas and knowledge are easily shared via personal networks and face-to-face communications achieve additional economic advantage as they are more productive SGS (2014).

It is well established (Killer et al., 2013; Chatman and Noland, 2011; Graham and Dender, 2011 and Graham, 2007b) that transport infrastructure such as roads, railways, cycleways and pedestrian walkways can support the dynamics of urban agglomeration by enabling increased interaction between firms and workers. There are established procedures for estimating the impact of new roads and railways on economic productivity at the regional or inter-regional level. However, the role of walking in supporting agglomeration economies is not as widely understood.

Walking facilitates personal and business networking. Attractive public spaces and walkable streets create an environment for business and social exchange and support knowledge diffusion. Walkability is described as one of the central factors in six of the eight transformational shifts of the Auckland City Centre Masterplan (ACCM). For example, The East-West Stitch: Connecting the Western Edge of the City to the Centre proposes a series of interventions to improve walking conditions and the public realm between the Queen Street Valley and the Victoria Quarter.

The Auckland Plan (2012) emphasises that Auckland productivity could improve through a number of avenues including improving transport links, and facilitating geographical clustering to achieve economies of scale. The economies of scale and connectivity effects of Auckland’s city centre will lead to agglomeration and

---

4 “Auckland’s productivity must improve. The Auckland Council and central government can support businesses to improve productivity by creating the right business environment, and working with firms to overcome barriers to productivity growth. These actions may include providing appropriate policy or regulatory settings, improving transport links, and facilitating geographical clustering to achieve economies of scale.”(Auckland Plan, 2012. p.154).
productivity gains for Auckland’s businesses and prosperity for the whole region (City Centre Master Plan, 2012).

Exploring the connectivity of firms through pedestrian networks and the value to Auckland city centre’s economy will help decision makers to understand both the costs of disturbing infrastructure (e.g. long delay pedestrian crossings) and the benefits of improved walking conditions. Therefore, this project seeks to understand the value of walking to the city centre’s economy. This research represents one of several work streams to quantify the economic benefits of walking by the Auckland Design Office within Auckland Council. The project is also of interest to Auckland Planning Office, Auckland Transport and Auckland Tourism, Events and Economic Development.

This report examines the dynamics of agglomeration economies in Auckland’s city centre by replicating and improving on a pedestrian analysis methodology developed for the Melbourne city centre by SGS (2014). This methodology measures the density and connectivity of jobs within dense city centre environments and examines the relationship to firms’ productivity. The SGS methodology is applicable to Auckland’s city centre, where pedestrian accessibility is also affected by the natural setting and transport infrastructure in the area.5

Auckland’s city centre in this study is defined as an area of three census area units (CAUs) within the Central Motorway Junction; namely Auckland Central West, Auckland Central East and Auckland Harbourside. The city centre is the hub of knowledge intensive industries in Auckland. In total 110,126 employees, or one in seven Auckland employees, work in Auckland’s city centre.6 It is the densest employment area in New Zealand with 277 workers per hectare.

The contribution of pedestrian connectivity to agglomeration economies was investigated using the relationship between walking effective job density (EJD) and labour productivity.

This report is structured as follows:

- The rest of section 1 describes the theory of the relationship between improved walkability and the economy and describes the Auckland city centre’s economy.

- Section 2 outlines the methodology including the definition of the study area, data collection on employment location, and modelling of pedestrian travel time between city centre locations.

5 Both cities’ transport systems are mostly dominant by road network.

6 Infometrics, regional employment data and customised meshblock level data (2015).
- Section 3 examines the dynamics of agglomeration economics and the relationship between walkability and productivity of firms in the city centre.
- Section 4 examines the agglomeration effects of expanding the study area to the area with a walking distance of 30 minutes.
- Section 5 concludes the report and makes recommendation for future research.

1.1 Theory of the relationship between walkability and the economy

The relationship between connectivity or accessibility, i.e. walkability, and economic output can be defined through agglomeration economies and their impact on labour productivity.

Changes in economic output can be measured by changes in the market value (inflation adjusted) of goods and services produced by the economy. Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is a common measure of aggregate economic activity. Labour productivity and the size of the labour force, determine the potential long-run economic growth (Economic Quarterly, January 2013).

Accessibility in this study is defined as face-to-face interactions through walkability between firms, employees, and customers which can result in higher productivity or improved consumption opportunities. Agglomeration economies arise when it is cheaper or more efficient to serve a larger number of users, or there is a positive spillover between firms, workers, or residents located close to each other (MRCagney, 2016).

Figure 1 visualises the relationship between changes in physical proximity and accessibility and economic growth.
There are a number of methods to measure the potential for agglomeration economies. This report employs a standard measure of agglomeration called effective job density.
1.2 Effective job density

Effective Job Density (EJD) measures the number of jobs accessible from a particular location weighted by travel time (Maré and Graham, 2009; SGS, 2014). Following Graham (2005), EJD is calculated as follows:

\[
EJD_i = \frac{E_i}{(\sqrt{A_i/\pi})^\alpha} + \sum_j E_j d_{ij}^\alpha
\]

Where;
- \(EJD_i\) = is the effective job density of jobs in location \(i\)
- \(E_i\) = is employment in location \(i\) (origin)
- \(E_j\) = is employment in location \(j\) (destinations)
- \(A_i\) = is the land area of area \(i\)
- \(\sqrt{A_i/\pi}\) = is an estimate of the average distance between jobs within area \(i\)
- \(\alpha\) = is distance decay

The distance decay parameter reflects the fact that employment in the immediate neighbourhood of a firm may have a larger effect relative to employment located further away. The larger the value of distance decay, the more rapidly the potential effect of employment diminishes with distance.

Graham et al. (2009) estimated distance decay factors (\(\alpha\)) for four broad sectors of the United Kingdom economy: manufacturing (1), construction (1.6), consumer services, i.e. retail, (1.8) and business services (1.8). Their study suggests that the effects of agglomeration diminish more rapidly with distance from the source for service industries and their relative impact of agglomeration on productivity is larger than it is for manufacturing and construction. In SGS (2014) the distance decay has not been shown in the EJD equation and we assume it was set to equal to 1.

---

7 SGS (2014) used another measure of EJD which is recommended by NZTA (2016). This equation includes the density of the origin area as well as the destination area. The other EJD measure used by SGS (2014) and suggested by NZTA (2016) is designed for larger scale areas (e.g. cities) than the census meshblocks that are used in this study.

Where;
- \(AGC_{ij}\) = is the average generalised cost

\[
EJD_i = \sum_j \frac{E_j}{AGC_{ij}^\alpha}
\]
The relationship between EJD of jobs in different locations and the productivity of firms in those locations, in the New Zealand context, was studied by Graham and Maré (2009). Their result of average agglomeration elasticity for New Zealand industries (0.065) indicated that a 10 per cent increase in effective density results in a 0.65 per cent increase in GDP per worker or in other words, productivity. The elasticities vary significantly between industries with the lowest in agriculture (0.032) and the highest in finance and insurance services (0.087). Figure 2 shows the various agglomeration elasticities within New Zealand industries.

**Figure 2: Agglomeration elasticities in New Zealand**

![Agglomeration elasticities in New Zealand](image)

Source: Adapted from Nunns (2016), NZ Transport Agency (2016)
1.3 Auckland city centre’s economy

This section provides a summary of two main elements of economic growth in Auckland’s city centre, the size of the labour force and labour productivity. The city centre in this study is defined as an area of three census area units (CAUs) in Auckland; namely Auckland central west, Auckland central east and Auckland Harbourside (see Figure 3).

Figure 3: Auckland city centre as defined in this study

Auckland city centre comprises 0.08 per cent of the overall area of Auckland, but includes 14 per cent of the region’s employment (110,126 of 787,500). It is the hub of knowledge-intensive industries, i.e. those industries that tend to cluster in cities and depend on skilled labour, with high shares of Auckland’s employment in financial (66%), media (53%) and professional services (44%). The Auckland city centre contributes more to national GDP than all but three other New Zealand regions; Canterbury (14% of national GDP in 2015), Wellington (14%), and Waikato (8%).

---

8 Infometrics, regional employment data and customised meshblock level data (2015).
Figure 4 shows the share of the Auckland region’s employment in the city centre by industry. Note that employment in ‘agriculture and mining’ in the city centre is made up of office-based employment (e.g. head offices services).

**Figure 4: Share of Auckland region’s employment in the city centre by industry (2015)**

There is no official data that relates specifically to the city centre’s GDP or productivity. Infometrics’ estimate of Waitematā Local Board GDP is the most detailed available data. They estimate that this area contributed $2010 22.2 billion to GDP in 2015. In this study the GDP for the city centre was calculated based on data on regional GDP by industry and detailed geographic data on employment and wages / salaries.

The GDP per employee in each industry in the Auckland region was estimated and multiplied by the city centre’s employment in each industry. To calculate the city centre’s productivity premium, the city centre’s mean personal income by industry \(^{10}\) (MPI) was compared with the rest of Auckland’s MPI. The premium results of average weighted personal income for all industries (18%) fits closely with Maré (2008) findings on the average CBD’s Value Added Per Worker (VAPW) premium (industry adjusted) relative to Auckland region (22%). The approach is summarised in the following equation:

\(^{10}\) Based on Statistics New Zealand (2013) census data on income by work address.
\[ \text{GDP}_C = \sum_{i=1}^{19} \left( \frac{\text{GDP}_{Ri}}{E_{Ri}} \times \frac{\text{PI}_{Ci} - \text{PI}_{RAi}}{\text{PI}_{RAi}} \right) \]

Where;

- \( \text{GDP}_C \) = is the estimated GDP for the city centre
- \( E_{Ci} \) = is employment in the city centre in industry \( i \) (from: Infometrics employment data)
- \( E_{Ri} \) = is employment in Auckland Region in industry \( i \) (from: Infometrics employment data)
- \( \text{GDP}_{Ri} \) = is the regional GDP within industry \( i \) (from: Infometrics regional GDP 2015 data)
- \( \frac{\text{PI}_{Ci} - \text{PI}_{RAi}}{\text{PI}_{RAi}} \) = is the formula for the productivity premium calculation
- \( \text{PI}_{Ci} \) = is average weighted personal income for employment working in the city centre in industry \( i \) (from: Statistics New Zealand, 2013 census data on income by work address)
- \( \text{PI}_{RAi} \) = is average weighted personal income for employment working in the rest of Auckland (except the city centre) in industry \( i \) (from: Statistics New Zealand, 2013 census data on income by work address)

Table 1 shows the GDP breakdown by industry for Auckland region and Waitematā Local Board and the estimated GDP for the city centre. It shows that the Auckland city centre comprises a quite significant share of New Zealand’s GDP (7%), Auckland GDP (20%) and Waitematā Local Board GDP (73%).
Table 1: GDP by industry in the Waitematā Local Board and the City Centre (June 2015 year, million\textsubscript{2010$}$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Auckland GDP</th>
<th>Waitematā GDP</th>
<th>City Centre GDP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing</td>
<td>$338.3</td>
<td>$9.7</td>
<td>$8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>$39.1</td>
<td>$14.6</td>
<td>$10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>$7,964.6</td>
<td>$282.8</td>
<td>$125.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity, Gas, Water and Waste Services</td>
<td>$988.4</td>
<td>$335.1</td>
<td>$19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$4,214.2</td>
<td>$341.1</td>
<td>$83.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale Trade</td>
<td>$6,542.9</td>
<td>$895.7</td>
<td>$444.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Trade</td>
<td>$3,795.8</td>
<td>$551.2</td>
<td>$234.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation and Food Services</td>
<td>$1,592.3</td>
<td>$481.8</td>
<td>$416.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport, Postal and Warehousing</td>
<td>$3,781.7</td>
<td>$533.3</td>
<td>$525.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Media and Telecommunications</td>
<td>$3,967.2</td>
<td>$2,590.7</td>
<td>$1,528.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial and Insurance Services</td>
<td>$7,165.3</td>
<td>$4,213.9</td>
<td>$4,552.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental, Hiring and Real Estate Services</td>
<td>$11,292.7</td>
<td>$3,127.9</td>
<td>$2,845.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional, Scientific and Technical</td>
<td>$7,961.6</td>
<td>$3,985.0</td>
<td>$3,105.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>$1,973.5</td>
<td>$659.2</td>
<td>$491.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative and Support Services</td>
<td>$2,323.2</td>
<td>$491.2</td>
<td>$554.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Administration and Safety</td>
<td>$3,458.1</td>
<td>$694.2</td>
<td>$548.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and Training</td>
<td>$4,561.8</td>
<td>$668.5</td>
<td>$203.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care and Social Assistance</td>
<td>$1,152.9</td>
<td>$355.2</td>
<td>$281.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts and Recreation Services</td>
<td>$1,632.6</td>
<td>$295.9</td>
<td>$193.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Services</td>
<td>$5,923.1</td>
<td>$1,626.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$80,669.3</td>
<td>$22,153.4</td>
<td>$16,171.6*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Share of Waitematā GDP 73.0%
Share of Auckland GDP 20.0%
Share of New Zealand GDP 7.4%


*It does not include ‘not elsewhere included’ GDP as there is no employment data in this category and the GDP could not be allocated to the city centre. The unallocated GDP is 7 per cent of both Auckland region’s and Waitematā’ Local Boards total GDP. The result of adding the 7 percent to the estimated GDP would result in the GDP for the city centre to be $17.4 billion which equates to 8 per cent of New Zealand’s GDP.
2.0 Methodology

This section outlines the methodology. This study examines the dynamics of agglomeration economies in Auckland’s city centre by replicating and improving on a pedestrian analysis methodology developed by SGS (2014) for a study of the Melbourne city centre.¹¹ This is consistent with the method that has been either applied or recommended to be used in an assessment of the impact of transport and land use projects on economic outputs both in international and New Zealand literature (e.g. New Zealand Transport Agency, 2016; SGS, 2012; Maré and Graham, 2009; Graham et al. 2009 and Maré, 2008).

To understand the value of walking to the city centre’s economy, the density and connectivity of jobs (effective density) in the city centre is measured and compared with labour productivity. The connectivity is measured by the walking distance and travel time between jobs incorporating traffic signal delays, pedestrian path types and deviations from a direct path.

The steps of the analysis are summarised below and described in more detail from section 2.1 onward.

- The study area is the core business area within the city centre, which includes all commercial buildings in 33 census meshblocks between Quay Street and Wellesley Street, plus additional travel zones within 30 minutes walking distance from this area.

- A census of more than 3220 businesses in the study area was carried out to estimate employment by industry at a fine geographic scale.¹²

- A pedestrian network was developed based on the existing road network in the study area.

- ‘Network analyst software’ (an extension of ArcGIS 10.2.1) was run to estimate the travel time between each origin and destination point.

- Pedestrian travel time matrices between buildings or model zones were created.

¹¹ The project team improved some of the aspects of the SGS (2014) methodology for it to be fit for use in Auckland’s city centre.

¹² Building footprints or building outlines define the extent of permanent building or structures, captured from high resolution aerial photography. The analysis is done at building footprint level instead of address or parcel levels as it is the most suitable scale to aggregate economic, demographic and geospatial data.
Pedestrian travel time matrices were combined with detailed estimates of employment to create a measure of the effective job density (EJD) by walking in all buildings within the study area.

A proxy measure for labour productivity was estimated based on detailed data on average annual wages from Statistics NZ’s Linked Employee Employment Data (2015).

2.1 Travel zones

Travel zones are the areas that contain the origin and/or destination of the distance matrixes. This study covers three travel zones, each with a different geographic specification, defined as follows:

- Study area: this area consists of 33 MBs in the Auckland City Centre with the highest worker density.
- City Centre: Auckland city centre in this study refers to three CAUs - Auckland Central West, Auckland Central East and Auckland Harbourside
- 30 min walking distance area: MBs within 30 minutes walking distance from the core study area are also included in the analysis.

Table 2 shows the geographic specifications of each zone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Travel Zone</th>
<th>Geographic Unit</th>
<th>Number of units</th>
<th>Area ha. (gross)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study area</td>
<td>Building footprint</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>52.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expansion area</td>
<td>Meshblock</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>604.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Rest of City Centre</td>
<td>MeshBlock</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>271.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 30 min Walking</td>
<td>MeshBlock</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>333.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Stats New Zealand (2013), Authors’ estimates

Note: Eight meshblocks with more than 50 per cent of their area consist of public open space have been removed from the total number and area of meshblocks because they don’t have any productivity or employment density to be used in the study. Therefore, they don’t contribute to agglomeration effects.

‘Centroids’ are defined as the geographic centre of an area and are used to spatially locate the network origins and destinations for calculating travel time within and between travel zones. The centroids inside the study area are the centre of each
building footprint and the centroids of the other two zones are the centre of each meshblock.

Figure 5 shows the position of travel zones in the Waitematā Local Board.

**Figure 5: Travel zones used in analysis**

Source: Statistics NZ (2013) and Authors’ estimates

The travel zones in total include 26 per cent of Auckland’s total employment. Figure 6 shows the share of Auckland employment by industry in the study area and the other travel zones. It shows that more than 50 per cent of Auckland’s employment in information media and telecommunications (71%), financial and insurance services (69%) and professional, scientific and technical services (55%), are located in the three zones.
Figure 6: Share of Auckland employment by industry in each travel zone

Source: Authors’ estimates based on Infometrics customised data.

2.1.1 Study area

The study area is the core business area in the city centre between Quay Street and Wellesley Street. It consists of 33 meshblocks with the highest employment density and aligns with the ‘engine room’ area of the city centre defined by the City Centre Master Plan (2012). It is the main travel zone of the three travel zones defined in this study. Figure 7 shows the high employment density by meshblock in the study area compared to the rest of the city centre.

The study area is the knowledge hub of the Auckland city centre. Professional, scientific and technical services (M) is the main industry accounting for 28 per cent of the total employment followed by financial and insurance services (K) with 20 percent of total employment in the study area.

Figure 8 shows the industry composition of employment in each of the 33 meshblocks for the selected industries in the study area. It shows that the meshblocks with employment higher than 4500 are primarily with financial and insurance services (K).
Figure 7: Employment density in the Auckland city centre

Source: Infometrics 2015 employment by MB, Statistic New Zealand (2013) MB area hectare
Figure 8: Employment in selected industries in the meshblocks within the study area

![Employment graph]

Source: Infometrics employment data by MB and industry (2015)

Figure 9 shows the location of some of the key industries in the study area. It indicates that while the retail is concentrated in the centre of the study area, along Queen Street, other main industries, financial and insurance services, administrative and support services and professional and scientific services, are more dispersed throughout the area. This dispersion is the highest for larger professional services firms (i.e. those with higher employment). Large financial services businesses are located in the northern half of the study area and administration services with higher employment area are mostly located in the south-eastern quarter of the area.

13 See ANZSIC Level 1 industries and their related coding in Appendix A.
14 ‘Key’ here refers to geographical distribution not the employment number or density.
Figure 9: Location of some key industries in the study area

Source: Authors’ estimates
2.2 Pedestrian network

Connectivity between travel zones is based on the pedestrian network developed for this project. Fine scale pedestrian network typologies were mapped for the study area whereas road centrelines were used to estimate walkability in the expansion area. The expansion network also enabled the walking and agglomeration impacts of the neighbouring areas to be captured. Average speeds were estimated for each category (see Table 3). The speeds selected best represent real world pedestrian movement, having consideration for traffic light phasing and other delays experienced along a pedestrian route.

Table 3: Network classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Average Speed (Km/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Footpath</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footway</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcade</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steps</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled Crossings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Short</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Medium</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Long</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncontrolled Crossings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Designated raised platforms</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Designated refuge islands</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Zebra crossings</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Designated straight crossings</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Uncontrolled intersections</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ estimates based on SGS Economics & Planning (2014)

Data provided by Auckland Transport was used to assign estimated speeds to the pedestrian crossings in the network. The walk, clearance and overall intersection cycle times were provided in seconds for each crossing in the study area. Average delay was calculated from the data using the following formula:

\[ d = \frac{r^2}{2c} \]

Where:

\( d \) = is average delay
\( r \) = is the red time
\( c \) = is the cycle time
Average delay times were divided into three categories; Short, Medium and Long delay crossings and an appropriate walking speed applied (see Appendix B). Speeds were given based on the assumption that average pedestrian crossings are 25m across. Categorising the measures of pedestrian delay assumes random arrivals at intersections and full compliance with signals.

Modelling pedestrian trips between travel zones was made possible by connecting the pedestrian network (depicted in Figure 10) with travel zone centroids of each origin and destination at the scale appropriate to the travel zone (shown in Figure 11).

**Figure 10: Walking network within the study area**

Source: Authors’ estimates

The study area building footprint centroids were joined to property entrance points to best represent real world pedestrian access and movements, although internal
movements such as between doors and lift or lift time is not accounted for. The expansion area travel zones were connected to the expansion portion of the pedestrian network at the centroid level.

**Figure 11: Building footprint centroids and meshblock centroids used in analysis**

Source: Authors’ estimates
Using the origin/destinations and the pedestrian network enabled the distance and time travelled to be determined for each matrix (see Figure 12).

**Figure 12: Pedestrian trip**

![Travel Statistics: 7.36 minutes 497 metres]

Source: Authors’ estimates
2.3 Travel time matrices

Two travel time matrices were created based on the distances between travel zones and the results of the geographic information system (GIS) analysis. The first ‘door to door’ travel time matrix is a matrix of walking time (in minutes) between each of 408 building footprint centroids in the study area to all other centroids in the area. The second ‘door to meshblock’ matrix is a matrix of walking time (in minutes) between each of the 408 building footprint centroids in the study area to centroids of 259 MBs in the other travel zones.

The travel time matrices were used to estimate the study area’s agglomeration degree using effective job density (EJD). The EJD for each building footprint is the sum of two EJDs estimated based on the two distance matrices.

Figure 13 visualises the walking time between a building footprint at the middle and one at the edge of the study area to all other centroids of travel zones.

Figure 13: Estimated walking travel time from two buildings in the study area

Source: Authors’ estimates
2.4 Effective job density estimates

As defined in the previous section, EJD is an agglomeration index that links job density and walking connectivity. Walking connectivity between buildings and meshblocks was estimated using the travel time matrices. Following Graham (2006), the distance decay (\(\alpha\)) is set to equal to 1, to weight access to employment inversely with walking travel time.\(^{15}\) We assume that within building footprints, employment is evenly distributed so that the first part of Equation 1 (see Section 1.2) is equal to the building job density.

Infometrics’ employment data at the MB level was used to estimate the EJD for each origin in the study area. A census of businesses in the study area was carried out to estimate employment at the building footprint level (see Appendix C for more details).

The EJD for each building footprint was calculated using a process that is summarised in the following diagram.

**Figure 14: The process of estimating EJD for each building footprint in the study area**

15 This means that employment in the immediate neighbourhood of a firm will have 10 times more effect on the firm’s EJD compared to those 10 km away.
The results show that buildings on both sides of Queen Street between Customs and Victoria Streets have higher EJD compared to the other parts of the study area. Figure 15 shows buildings located in the eastern part of the study area (Shortland Street) also have higher EJD compared to those located to the west (Swanson Street).

The two drivers of walking based EJD, employment density and walking connectivity could help understand the reasons behind the differences in EJD within the study area. Employment density (employment per hectare) shows the physical cluster of jobs. Figure 16 shows employment density based on allocated employment to building footprints.

Walking connectivity represents the ease of walking between firms. It is calculated based on the destination’s aggregated land area divided by the travel time from each origin. Figure 17 shows the difference in walking connectivity between buildings weighted by land areas. It indicates high walk connectivity for buildings located in the Queen Street neighbourhood.

Comparing Figures 15-17 shows that the high EJD of some building footprints are due to high employment density with relatively low walking connectivity. For example the eastern part of the study area (Shortland Street); or due to high walking connectivity with relatively low employment density, for example buildings along Queen Street. Some buildings with high EJD have high employment density combined with high walking connectivity, for example the building at the corner of Customs Street West and Queen Street.
Figure 15: Walk effective job density

Source: Authors’ estimates
Figure 16: Employment density

Source: Authors’ estimates
Figure 17: Walking connectivity

Source: Authors’ estimates
2.5 Labour productivity

Labour productivity is a measure of economic growth. It measures the amount of goods and services produced by one hour of labour.

SGS (2014) used the average gross value added (GVA) (sum of wages and profits) for each ANZSIC industry as a proxy for labour productivity. This is one of the most common proxies used to measure productivity internationally.

Utilising the same methodology, adapted to available data, we used the mean wage per worker by industry in the study area from the Linked Employer-Employee Data (LEED) as a proxy for productivity. The data shows a significant premium in wages in the study area compared to the rest of Auckland for most of the industries and specifically for knowledge intensive industries that are the main activities in this area (e.g. financial services 33%, professional services 18% and media 15%).

To avoid the industry effect and account for the productivity premium due to different industries in the study area compared to the rest of Auckland, the productivity measure was adjusted for industry. The adjustment is carried out using the weight of the mean wage in each industry in the rest of Auckland.

Table 4 shows the estimated GVA per worker by industry in study area compared to the rest of Auckland.

---

16 Labour productivity based on the GDP per hour worked reflects the contribution of all production factors including labour, land, capital and enterprise. We used average wages as a proxy for GVA to only reflect the labour value added.

17 Labour productivity is higher than wages as it also includes profits.

18 The weighted mean wage in each industry $i$ in the rest of Auckland region is calculated using the following equation:

$$ WMW_i = \frac{\sum_i MW_i}{\sum_i MW_i} $$

Where:

$WMW_i = $ Weighted mean wage in each industry $i$ in the rest of Auckland region

$MW_i = $ Mean wage in industry $i$ in the rest of Auckland region

---
Table 4: Average annual earning by industry, study area and rest of Auckland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Study area</th>
<th>Study area adjusted for industry</th>
<th>Rest of Auckland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture and Mining</td>
<td>$146,950</td>
<td>$80,760</td>
<td>$60,440*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>$68,760</td>
<td>$86,266</td>
<td>$64,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity, gas, water, and waste services</td>
<td>$121,366**</td>
<td>$108,219</td>
<td>$80,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$60,580</td>
<td>$86,787</td>
<td>$64,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale trade</td>
<td>$108,620</td>
<td>$91,196</td>
<td>$68,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail trade</td>
<td>$38,420</td>
<td>$53,622</td>
<td>$40,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation and food services</td>
<td>$36,260</td>
<td>$39,391</td>
<td>$29,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport, postal, and warehousing</td>
<td>$86,300</td>
<td>$86,466</td>
<td>$64,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information media and telecommunications</td>
<td>$90,600</td>
<td>$105,467</td>
<td>$78,930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial and insurance services</td>
<td>$116,050</td>
<td>$116,170</td>
<td>$86,940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental, hiring, and real estate services</td>
<td>$104,880</td>
<td>$83,713</td>
<td>$62,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional, scientific, and technical services</td>
<td>$91,400</td>
<td>$103,249</td>
<td>$77,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative and support services</td>
<td>$58,280</td>
<td>$63,243</td>
<td>$47,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public administration and safety</td>
<td>$75,870</td>
<td>$89,005</td>
<td>$66,610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and training</td>
<td>$51,900</td>
<td>$78,369</td>
<td>$58,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care and social assistance</td>
<td>$46,250</td>
<td>$75,362</td>
<td>$56,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts and recreation services</td>
<td>$63,189**</td>
<td>$55,653</td>
<td>$41,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other services</td>
<td>$53,500</td>
<td>$82,428</td>
<td>$61,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total industries</strong></td>
<td><strong>$82,428</strong></td>
<td><strong>$82,428</strong></td>
<td><strong>$77,164</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Statistics New Zealand, customised LEED quarterly data, average earning based on full quarter jobs for 4 quarters to June 2015.

* Average of wages in agriculture ($41,700) and mining ($79,180) sectors provided by Statistics NZ.

** The numbers have not been provided by Statistics NZ because of confidentiality matters. They have been estimated by the authors based on the HLFS average annual earning data 2015.

Figure 18 shows high productivity firms are dispersed throughout the study area. Shortland Street (located at the eastern part of the area) is the only street with relatively higher concentration of high productivity buildings.
Figure 18: Study area building footprint labour productivity

Source: Authors’ estimates
3.0 The relationship between walking effective job density and productivity

Agglomeration economics literature suggests that there is a positive and causal relationship between EJD and productivity. Several studies have found that better walking connectivity and/or accessibility to jobs within close proximity within city centre environments is associated with higher productivity (Rosenthal and Strange, 2003; Arzaghi and Henderson, 2008; SGS, 2014). Furthermore, some studies found that density of jobs, rather than city size, is a primary driver of agglomeration economies (Ciccone and Hall, 1996 and Cervero, 2001). However, these relationships have not been investigated in Auckland or even in New Zealand. This study is the first research in New Zealand that investigates the correlation between walking connectivity and labour productivity.

Figure 19 shows a positive association between walking EJD and productivity in the Auckland city centre. Walking EJD ‘explains’ around 15 per cent of the variation in estimated labour productivity in the Auckland city centre, which is likely to reflect the influence of other factors such as variations in industry composition between different parts of the city centre and proximity to other transport facilities, such as the Britomart train station. 19

Results of the econometrics analysis used to obtain a more accurate picture of the underlying relationship between EJD and productivity, and after controlling for the impact of industry composition on labour productivity is provided in Appendix D.

---

19 By comparison, SGS (2014) finds that EJD ‘explains’ around 40% of variation in labour productivity in the Melbourne CBD.
The point estimate suggests that a 10 per cent increase in walking EJD is associated with a 5.3 per cent increase in productivity. This compares to the SGS (2014) results of 0.66 per cent. This means that a one per cent increase in walking EJD within each travel zone will increase the value of economy of the study area by 0.53 per cent or approximately $42 million based on the authors’ estimate of $8.01 billion GDP for the study area.

The estimated elasticity of productivity with respect to walking EJD was high compared with other estimates of agglomeration elasticities. Most estimates of agglomeration elasticities at a regional level are an order of magnitude lower. This may reflect the fact that there are other variables, such as proximity to major transport facilities that have not been controlled for by the SGS (2014) model. Nonetheless, this result is consistent with the hypothesis that better walking accessibility raises economic productivity.

This research is the first empirical study on the relationship between connectivity (specifically walking connectivity) and productivity in a fine scale for Auckland city centre. The relationship between EJD and productivity is positive but not as strong as the Melbourne CBD study by SGS (2014). This could be the result of differences in the size and scale of the two city centres. In 2015, the employment density of Melbourne city centre was 353.29 employees per hectare, 24 percent higher than Auckland city centre’s employment density (284.47).
Figure 20 shows the employment by industry in Auckland’s city centre compared to Melbourne CBD. Three main industries in Auckland’s city centre; professional, scientific and technical services, financial and insurance services and accommodation and food services are also three of four main industries in Melbourne CBD with 70 per cent, 123 per cent and 126 per cent higher employment respectively, compared to Auckland’s city centre.

**Figure 20: Employment by industry in Auckland’s city centre and Melbourne CBD**

Source: City of Melbourne Census of Land Use and Employment (2002-2016) and infometrics employment data 2015
4.0 Walking effective job density in the broader city centre

This section extends the analysis to examine pedestrian accessibility of the expansion area (see section 2.1 Travel Zones) to the core business area of the city centre. The two travel zones include the rest of the meshblocks (103) in the city centre and 156 meshblocks located in the 30 minutes walking distance from the centre of the study area.

The methodology is the same as the previous section with some differences as follows:

- The pedestrian network has not been developed for the area beyond the study area. The road network is considered as an inactive network for pedestrian.
- The origins are the centroids of MBs in two defined expansion travel zones as well as building footprint of the study area.
- The destinations are building footprints in the study area.

Figure 21 shows how a pedestrian trip between a point outside the city centre (a meshblock centroid) to a building in the city centre has been modelled.
The calculated EJD for MBs in the two expansion zones and the total EJD for the study area’s buildings is shown in Figure 22. This shows that the highest effective density in the study area gradually decreases toward the rest of the city centre and the suburbs surrounding the city centre.

Figure 23 shows employment density based on allocated employment to building footprints. Figure 24 shows the difference in walking connectivity between buildings weighted by land areas.

Comparing Figures 21-23 shows that the high EJD of the CBD meshblocks is due to high walking connectivity. Some meshblocks also have relatively higher EJD while they are not in the medium to high range walking connectivity. For example, the meshblock located south of Victoria Park (including Victoria Park Market and Sale St.) indicates a relatively high employment density is the main driver of a higher EJD compared to meshblocks with similar connectivity.
These maps also show that some of the meshblocks with high employment density have very low walking connectivity to the jobs in the city centre that results in a low EJD (e.g. Auckland Hospital in Grafton).

**Figure 22: Effective job density**

Source: Authors’ estimates
The relationship between pedestrian connectivity and economic productivity in Auckland’s city centre
This report could be a starting point for more studies on agglomeration economics in fine geographic units in New Zealand. Future studies could consider the following based on the empirical results of this research.

- The SGS (2014) model used in this study designed a single variable correlation method. There are a range of other unobserved factors that may affect productivity, including proximity to other transport facilities and a range of unobserved factors that may arise at the local level.

- The model also does not include the reverse causality between urban agglomeration, human capital and labour productivity. The choice of firms to locate near suppliers, customers and other like-minded businesses is in part because that co-location generates improved productivity through connectivity and associated knowledge. This raises the question of the direction of causality in the effective density-productivity equation. More robust evidence that better walkability increases productivity could be obtained by applying econometric techniques such as instrumental variables estimation that can address causality.

- Location of a highly productive firm may not be chosen for walkability reasons but rather for other amenity factors such as waterfront location.

- Other proxies for productivity and walkability might have different results compared to the method used in this study. For example, ground floor rents could be a proxy for walkability for retail located in areas with high pedestrian traffic. Real estate prices also could be used as a proxy for productivity.

---

20 Reverse causality means agglomeration effects can raise productivity; but an entrepreneur may also seek the most productive locations turning it into an agglomerated area.
5.0 Conclusion and recommendations

This report examines the dynamics of agglomeration economies in Auckland’s city centre by replicating and improving on a pedestrian analysis methodology developed by SGS (2014) for the Melbourne city centre. The method was improved by the project team and has provided a more detailed understanding of Auckland city centre’s walking connectivity and economy.

The value of pedestrian connectivity to agglomeration economies was measured using the relationship between walking effective job density (EJD), and labour productivity. The effective job density estimated for buildings located in the defined study area included the meshblocks with the highest employment density within the Auckland city centre.

The results of the research are summarised as follows:

- The 2015 GDP for Auckland’s city centre is estimated to equal more than $201016 billion, 20 per cent and 7.4 per cent of Auckland and New Zealand’s GDP respectively.

- A census of businesses was undertaken within the study area collecting information on business name, address, activity and type for more than 3220 firms. Employment number and industry (based on Level 1 ANZSIC) was allocated to each building from Statistics NZ Census data available at meshblock level.

- The result of the employment data analysis shows that retail is concentrated in the core of the study area along Queen Street with high walking connectivity and low productivity compared to other industries.

- The high productivity industries in the study area, financial and insurance services, administrative and support services and professional and scientific services are more dispersed throughout the area. This dispersion is the highest for larger professional services firms (i.e. those with higher employment). Large financial services companies are located in the northern half of the study area and administration services with higher employment are largely located in the south-eastern quarter of the area.

- Walking effective job density in Queen Street is high while it is one of the lowest productivity areas. It is because the main industries in most of the buildings are retail with relatively lower productivity in general compared to other industries.
- Shortland Street shows a high and positive relationship between connectivity and productivity as both of them are high in this part of the study area.

- Consistent with previous research, there is a positive and statistically significant association between walking EJD and estimated labour productivity within the Auckland city centre. Locations that are more walkable tend to have higher productivity. This relationship is robust with the inclusion of controls for (estimated) industry composition at a building level, suggesting that it does not simply reflect the fact that higher-productivity industries choose to locate in more walkable places.

- The point estimate suggests that a 10 per cent increase in walking EJD is associated with a 5.3 per cent increase in productivity, compared to SGS (2014) results of 0.66 per cent. It means that a one per cent increase in walking EJD within each travel zone will increase the value of the economy of the study area by 0.53 per cent or approximately $42 million based on the authors’ estimate of $8.01 billion GDP for the study area.

- The estimated elasticity of productivity with respect to walking EJD is high compared with other estimates of agglomeration elasticities. Most estimates of agglomeration elasticities at a regional level are an order of magnitude lower. This may reflect the fact that there are other variables, such as proximity to major transport facilities that have not been controlled for by the SGS (2014) model. Nonetheless, this result is consistent with the hypothesis that better walking accessibility raises economic productivity.

- The high EJD of most of the meshblocks surrounding the study area is due to high walking connectivity. Some meshblocks also have relatively high EJD while they are not in the medium to high range of walking connectivity. For example the meshblock located in the south of Victoria Park (including Victoria Park Market and Sale Street) has relatively higher EJD because of its higher employment density compared to other meshblocks with the same connectivity.

- Some of the meshblocks with high employment density have very low walking connectivity to the jobs in the city centre resulting in a low EJD (e.g. Auckland Hospital).

This study, as the first research in New Zealand investigating the relationship between walking connectivity and agglomeration economics, could be a starting point for more studies on agglomeration economics in fine geographic units in New Zealand. Future studies could consider the following points based on the empirical results of this research.
• The SGS (2014) model used in this study designed a single variable correlation method. There are a range of other unobserved factors that may affect productivity, including proximity to other transport facilities and a range of unobserved factors that may arise at the local level.

• The model also does not include the reverse causality between urban agglomeration, human capital and labour productivity. The choice of firms to locate near suppliers, customers and other like-minded businesses is in part because that co-location generates improved productivity through connectivity and associated knowledge. This raises the question of the direction of causality in the effective density-productivity equation. More robust evidence that better walkability increases productivity could be obtained by applying econometric techniques such as instrumental variables estimation that can address causality.

• Location of a highly productive firm may not be chosen for walkability reasons but rather for other amenity factors such as waterfront location.

• Other proxies for productivity and walkability might have different results compared to the method used in this study. For example, ground floor rents could be a proxy for walkability for retail located in areas with high pedestrian traffic. Real estate prices also could be used as a proxy for productivity.

---

21 Reverse causality means agglomeration effects can raise productivity; but an entrepreneur may also seek the most productive locations turning it into an agglomerated area.
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Glossary of terms

**Centroid** is the geometric centre of a feature such as polygons or lines. In network analysis centroids were used to define the network locations (origin and destination) of a pedestrian route.

**Deep labour market** is a labour market that only includes short-term unemployment.

**Distance decay** is “a quantitative conception of the phenomena that things being further away are less likely to be used. It is used as one of the base assumptions when modelling human spatial behaviour, including assessment of accessibility of resources and mobility of the users”. (Skov-Petersen, unknown)

**Distance matrix** is a square matrix (two-dimensional array) containing the distances, taken pairwise, between the elements of a set.

**Elasticity** is a measure of a variable’s sensitivity to a change in another variable. For example the sensitivity of effective job density to changes in productivity.

**Impedances** is a measure of the amount of resistance, or cost, necessary to traverse through elements of a network. Resistance is typically measured by travel distance or speed – in this study the optimum (lowest cost) route was based on travel speed. Both accumulative travel speed and distance were derived from the lowest cost route.

**Knowledge diffusion** is the process by which science knowledge is spread.

**Knowledge intensive industries** are services and business operations heavily reliant on professional knowledge.

**Travel zone** is a geographic area that is defined specifically and covered in the study.
**Appendix A: ANZSIC Level 1 industries**

- Agriculture, forestry, and fishing               A
- Mining                                           B
- Manufacturing                                    C
- Electricity, gas, water, and waste services      D
- Construction                                    E
- Wholesale trade                                 F
- Retail trade                                    G
- Accommodation and food services                H
- Transport, postal, and warehousing             I
- Information media and telecommunications         J
- Financial and insurance services               K
- Rental, hiring, and real estate services       L
- Professional, scientific, and technical services M
- Administrative and support services            N
- Public administration and safety               O
- Education and training                          P
- Health care and social assistance              Q
- Arts and recreation services                   R
- Other services                                 S
- Not elsewhere classified                       T
Appendix B: Pedestrian crossing timing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crossing</th>
<th>Walk</th>
<th>Clearance</th>
<th>Int Cycle</th>
<th>Total Walk Time</th>
<th>Red Time</th>
<th>Average Delay</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albert Street / Wyndham Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Street / Swanson Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Street / Queen Street</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Street / High Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Street / Kitchener Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sturdee Street / Lower Hobson Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellesley Street / Queen Street</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customs Street / Lower Albert Street</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customs Street / Queen Street</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quay Street / Lower Queen Street</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quay Street / Lower Albert Street</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen Street / Shortland Street</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customs Street / Commerce Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellesley Street / Elliot Street</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customs Street / Britomart Place</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customs Street / Gore Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>101.5</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen Street / Wyndham Street</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>101.5</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellesley Street / Kitchener Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>102.5</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen Street / Fort Street</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quay Street / Britomart Place</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellesley Street / Hobson Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Street / Hobson Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quay Street / Commerce Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quay Street / Gore Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hobson Street / Wyndham Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fanshawe Street / Lower Hobson Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellesley Street / Albert Street</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Street / Albert Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>116.5</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Street / Federal Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Street / Elliot Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellesley Street / Federal Street</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C: Census of businesses

Employment data is available for meshblocks as the finest geographic unit. In order to estimate the employment in a finer geographic unit for this study, a census of businesses in the study area was undertaken as part of this study. Information on the business name, address, location and main specialty of more than 3220 firms in 33 meshblocks was collected. Based on the location and the industry that was identified for each firm (based on its main activity), a proportion of employment of that industry in the respective MB was allocated to the firm.

The latest employment data is 2015 therefore we did not include firms that were established after 2015.

The industries we identified through the census were not always consistent with the MB employment data and we had to allocate employment to the closest building footprint with the similar industry, in the case of major employment. For example, one of the MB data showed more than 250 employment in the public administration and safety industry (specifically Auckland Council), but there was no council building located in that MB. Instead, employment for the main Auckland Council planning building was just around 300.
Appendix D: Econometric analysis

Econometric analysis was used to obtain a more accurate picture of the underlying relationship, between EJD and productivity, after controlling for the impact of industry composition on labour productivity. This analysis confirms that the relationship is robust with the inclusion of some additional controls, although it has not comprehensively investigated all factors that may influence the relationship.

The following equation describes the econometric model that was used to control for the impact of industry composition. This basic model could be extended to account for other relevant factors.

\[
\log(\text{Productivity}_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(\text{EJD}_i) + \sum_k \delta_k \text{Share}_i^k + \epsilon_i
\]

Where:

- \(\text{Productivity}_i\) = Estimated labour productivity in building \(i\); this variable was log-transformed to normalise it;
- \(\text{EJD}_i\) = Walking EJD in building \(i\); this variable was log-transformed to normalise it;
- \(\text{Share}_i^k\) = Estimated share of employment in building \(i\) that is in industry \(k\);
- \(\epsilon_i\) = Random disturbance term; and
- \(\beta_0, \beta_1, \text{and } \delta_k\) = Coefficients to be estimated in the model.

The following grouping based on ANZSIC Level 1, cross-checked against Maré and Graham’s estimates of agglomeration elasticities was chosen for the industry share variables:

- b. Retail, wholesaling, and distribution: F, G, H, I
- c. Producer services: J, K, L, M, N
- d. Public and other services: O, P, Q, R, S

The industry share was calculated as the percentage of the estimated employment in each building that falls within each grouping. Therefore, the industry shares for each building sums to 100 per cent.

One of the categories was omitted from the regression to avoid perfect collinearity between the industry share variables. The omitted category is the good-producing industries because there are not many of them located in the city centre.
This model was estimated using ordinary least squares (OLS) regression. The following table summarises the results of two regression models. Model 1 is the model used by SGS (2014) for Melbourne and Model 2 is an improved version of model 1 that controls for industry composition. Table 5 shows the econometrics results of the two models. The results mean that:

- Both models were statistically significant (as shown by the F-statistic), with model coefficients indicating the expected sign and statistical significance.
- Increased walking EJD was associated with higher productivity across both model specifications.
- Model 2 was preferred – based on the (algebraically) lower Akaike Information Criterion statistic. This resulted in a more optimal combination of goodness of fit and model complexity.
- Including industry controls reduced the estimated magnitude of the relationship between EJD and productivity.

Table 5: Results of the OLS regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dependent variable</td>
<td>log(Productivity)</td>
<td>log(Productivity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent variable</td>
<td>Coeff</td>
<td>Std err</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log(EJD_i)</td>
<td>0.530217</td>
<td>0.072992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share_{FG}^i</td>
<td>0.175042</td>
<td>0.046556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share_{JN}^i</td>
<td>0.324657</td>
<td>0.0538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share_{OS}^i</td>
<td>0.249543</td>
<td>0.065243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model statistics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.148736</td>
<td>0.245435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-statistic</td>
<td>52.76664</td>
<td>24.31365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akaike’s Information Criterion</td>
<td>0.029903</td>
<td>-0.07094</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: * = statistically significant at the 10% level; ** = statistically significant at the 5% level; *** = statistically significant at the 1% level.